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Abstract

In this paper, the synthesis of a three-band planar antenna working in the GSM (900 and 1800 MHz) and UMTS frequency bands is presented. As reference geometry, a hybrid pre-fractal shape has been adopted by integrating a Sierpinski-like and a Meander-like structure. The synthesis of the antenna has been performed by optimizing the descriptive geometrical parameters of the reference shape by means of a customized Particle Swarm strategy to comply with the electrical and geometrical requirements.
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1 Introduction

Nowadays, a large number of electronic devices exploits multiple wireless standards. Moreover, the dimensions of such products (e.g., mobile handsets) are becoming smaller and smaller following the users’ needs and thanks to the progress of the modern integrating circuit technology. In this framework, it is usually necessary to integrate the RF-part (i.e., the whole set of wireless interfaces) in only one antenna. Such a requirement becomes even more challenging when also a high degree of miniaturization is required. It has been demonstrated that fractal shapes [1]-[4] are suitable solutions for both miniaturization [5]-[10] and multi-band issues [11]-[14]. These results are enabled by two important properties of fractal geometries: the space-filling capability and the self-similarity. The former refers to the ability of fractal curves to be very long occupying a compact physical space and it can be profitably exploited to build small antennas. The other indicates that small regions of the geometry are copies of the whole structure, but on a reduced scale, with an expected similar electromagnetic behavior at different frequencies. Moreover, it has been found that by perturbing a reference fractal shape (i.e., introducing some additional degrees of freedom), it is possible to tune the locations of non-harmonic resonance frequencies [15]-[20].

According to these guidelines, this paper deals with the synthesis of a planar antenna working at three different and separated frequency bands (i.e., GSM 900 MHz, GSM 1800 MHz, and UMTS) under heavy dimensional constraints for its integration in a mobile handset. A solution based on the printing circuit board (PCB) technology has been adopted for its attractive and advantages for commercialization purposes (i.e., cheap costs, light weight, robustness, and suitability for mass production). To comply with the project requirements, the reference geometry is obtained by combining two different fractal shapes into a hybrid structure. A Sierpinski-like geometry [1][2] is used to tune the highest resonances and a Meander-like shape [1][2] is devoted to set the lowest frequency resonance still keeping small dimensions. It is worthwhile to point out that, unlike the synthesis process dealt with in [21], the project at hand also requires suitable rejection regions between the three bands to obtain a “true” multiband behavior instead of a wideband one. Because of the complexity of the design procedure, the synthesis is reformulated as an optimization problem defining a suitable multi-term cost function to
be minimized by means of a customized implementation of an effective cooperative stochastic algorithm [22]-[28].

The outline of the paper is as follows. In Sect. 2, the synthesis process is described by focusing on the parametrization of the antenna geometry and the definition of a suitable cost function taking into account the project requirements. Section 3 is aimed at presenting a set of numerical results concerned with the impedance matching and the radiation characteristics of the antenna. A comparison with experimental data, obtained from measurements on a prototype, is also reported. Finally, some conclusions are drawn (Sect. 4).

2 Antenna Design

The three-band antenna has been required to fit the following constraints: (a) VSWR values lower than 2.0 at the frequency bands centered at \( f_{GSM900} = 890 \) MHz, \( f_{GSM1800} = 1850 \) MHz (GSM standard), and at \( f_{UMTS} = 2045 \) MHz (UMTS band); (b) radiation pattern suitable for mobile applications (i.e., main lobe width \( \theta_{3dB} \geq 60^\circ \)); (c) planar dimensions smaller than \( 7 \times 4 \) cm\(^2\) on an Arlon substrate (thickness \( h = 0.8 \) mm, dielectric characteristics \( \varepsilon_r = 3.38 \) and \( \tan \delta = 0.0025 \) at \( f = 10 \) GHz).

The reference geometry of the antenna is shown in Fig. 1. It consists of a Meander-like shape connected to the top of a Sierpinski-like structure, a rectangular feedline, and the groundplane. The Meander curve is identified by a set of \( N_M = 7 \) real parameters \( \{M_0, ..., M_5; W\} \), where \( M_0 \) is the distance between the upper-left corner of the Sierpinski-like structure and the connection point, \( M_i, i = 0, ..., 5 \) indicates the length of the \( i \)-th segment, while \( W \) is the curve width. As regards the Sierpinski-like shape, \( L_{s_1,s_2} \) and \( \Theta_{s_1,s_2} \) are the \( s_2 \)-th side and angle, respectively, of the \( s_1 \)-th triangle of the radiating part (Fig. 1) being \( s_1 = 1, ..., 9 \) and \( s_2 = 1, 2, 3 \). Moreover, the remaining descriptors of the “radiating part” are \( \{D_1, ..., D_4\} \), while the ground-plane parameters are \( \{P_1, ..., P_3; \Phi\} \). Then, each antenna design is univocally identified by the following vector

\[
\xi = \{M_0, ..., M_5; W; L_{s_1,s_2}; \Theta_{s_1,s_2}; s_1 = 1, ..., 9; s_2 = 1, ..., 3; D_1, ..., D_4; P_1, ..., P_3; \Phi\}.
\]  

(1)
Starting from the reference geometry, the three-band antenna has been synthesized by means of a PSO-based strategy aimed at varying the descriptive geometrical parameters in (1) to fit the design requirements. More in detail, a swarm of $Q = 6$ particles has been randomly initialized and the position of each particle within the solution space corresponds to a trial antenna modeled with a hybrid pre-fractal generator. The electric performances of each trial solution are estimated using a method of moment (MoM) electromagnetic simulator [29] and its matching with the project constraints is evaluated by computing an index of “goodness of the solution” (or cost function $\Omega$) proportional to the differences between simulated electric/radiation features (i.e., $VSWR$ and gain values) and requirements

$$\Omega (\xi) = \Omega_{Band} (\xi) + \Omega_{Rej} (\xi) + \Omega_{\theta} (\xi)$$

(2)

where $\Omega_{Band} (\xi)$ is the term related to the working frequencies, $\Omega_{Rej} (\xi)$ refers to the regions where a stopband behavior is required, and $\Omega_{\theta} (\xi)$ is concerned with the radiation pattern requirement. More specifically, the first term in (2) is given by

$$\Omega_{Band} (\xi) = \sum_{i} \max \left\{ 0, \frac{VSWR (f_i) - VSWR_{Band}}{VSWR_{Band}} \right\}$$

(3)

where $i \in \{ GSM900, GSM1800, UMTS \}$ and $VSWR_{Band} = 2.0$. Moreover, a minimum value of $VSWR_{Rej} = 5.0$ is required at the two intermediate frequencies $f_{R1} = 1350 \text{ MHz}$ and $f_{R2} = 2000 \text{ MHz}$ in order to force the three-band behavior. This is taken into account by the second term of (2)

$$\Omega_{Rej} (\xi) = \sum_{j} \max \left\{ 0, \frac{VSWR_{Rej} - VSWR (f_j)}{VSWR_{Rej}} \right\}$$

(4)

where $j \in \{ R1, R2 \}$. The last term

$$\Omega_{\theta} (\xi) = \sum_{i} \max \left\{ 0, \frac{\theta_{-3dB} - MLW (f_i)}{\theta_{-3dB}} \right\}$$

(5)

ensures the synthesized radiation patterns having a main lobe width $MLW$ greater than $\theta_{-3dB}$ at all the frequencies of interest.
As far as the behavior of the optimization process is concerned, the PSO logic [23] iteratively updates the position of each particle (i.e., a trial solution) of the swarm on the basis of the compliance with the project constraints “measured” in terms of values of the cost function (2). The PSO iterative loop ends when the maximum number of $K = 200$ iterations is reached or when the value of the fitness function $\Omega$ decreases below the convergence threshold $\eta_{\text{conv}} = 10^{-3}$. As regards the PSO setup, the control parameters have been set to $C_1 = C_2 = 2.0$ and $w = 0.4$ [24].

At the convergence ($k = 101$), the result of the optimization is the antenna structure shown in Fig. 2(a). As it can be noticed, it matches the dimension constraints occupying an area of $67.2 \times 36.5 \text{mm}^2$. Moreover, it is worth to point out that the synthesized antenna turns out to be about 20% shorter than a standard quarter-wave monopole resonating at $f_{GSM900} = 890 \text{MHz}$.

### 3 Numerical and Experimental Validation

On the basis of the synthesized geometry, the antenna prototype in Fig. 2(b) has been built and fed by means of a coaxial cable through a SMA connector. The prototype has been experimentally tested in a semianechoic chamber, where the VSWR values at the input port and the radiation patterns have been measured. In order to prevent undesired radiations from the feeding coaxial cable, the antenna prototype has been equipped with a RF impedance to minimize the current flow along the external surface of the coaxial shield. Concerning the impedance matching and with reference to Fig. 3, a good agreement between simulations and measurements holds true as well as a suitable matching with the user-defined constraints.

More in detail, the minimum VSWR values at the resonances are: $VSWR_{GSM900}^{(\text{sim})} = 2.0$ vs. $VSWR_{GSM900}^{(\text{mis})} = 1.9$; $VSWR_{GSM1800}^{(\text{sim})} = 1.1$ vs. $VSWR_{GSM1800}^{(\text{mis})} = 1.2$; $VSWR_{UMTS}^{(\text{sim})} = 1.2$ vs. $VSWR_{UMTS}^{(\text{mis})} = 1.5$. On the other hand, the VSWR values at both $f_{R1}$ and $f_{R2}$ are greater than $VSWR_{\text{Rej}} = 5.0$ assessing the three-band behavior of the synthesized antenna.

As regards the radiation properties, Figure 4 shows the simulated three-dimensional gain patterns at the three working frequencies. As expected, the antenna radiates like a classical monopole at the lowest frequency [Fig. 4(a)], while it behaves like a dipole radiator at $f_{GSM1800}$ and $f_{UMTS}$ [Fig. 4(b-c)]. The different behavior at $f_{GSM900}$ is mainly due to the presence of the
Meander-like shape used to tune the lowest resonance. Moreover, no additional lobes appear further assessing the multiband operation mode of the antenna. As a matter of fact, the presence of side lobes, as for wire monopoles or dipole antennas, usually indicates that the currents at the higher frequencies are overtones of the fundamental mode at the lowest one.

The pattern behavior of the antenna has been also experimentally validated through a set of measurements probing the synthesized prototype along the horizontal ($\theta = 90^\circ$) and vertical ($\phi = 90^\circ$) planes. The results of the comparison between simulated and measured gains are shown in Fig. 5. Once again, there is a good agreement between simulations and measurements. The antenna presents an omnidirectional behavior along the horizontal plane, with a maximum variation over $\phi$ less than $4\,\text{dB}$. As regards the vertical plane, the antenna shows an almost omnidirectional behavior at the lowest resonance, while it works almost like a dipole at $f_{\text{GSM1800}}$ and $f_{\text{UMTS}}$. The main lobe widths along the vertical plane fit the project requirements since $MLW_{\text{GSM900}}^{(\text{sim})} = 65^\circ$, $MLW_{\text{GSM1800}}^{(\text{sim})} = 75^\circ$, and $MLW_{\text{UMTS}}^{(\text{sim})} = 69^\circ$.

For completeness, the simulated surface current distributions are pictorially represented in Fig. 6 where both the “radiating part” and the “groundplane” of the antenna at the resonance frequencies are reported. As expected, the currents concentrate in different regions of the structure at the three different operating frequencies because of the multiband behavior unlike what it happens for a wideband radiator. As an example, a greater amplitude of the surface current density can be observed at $f_{\text{GSM900}}$ in the bottom region of the “radiating” part of the antenna, whereas the current distribution becomes more concentrated along the vertexes of the upper triangles of the Sierpinski structure in the upper band ($f_{\text{UMTS}}$).

### 4 Conclusions

In this paper, the synthesis of a three-band antenna with limited dimensions and suitable for the integration in a mobile device has been described. The reference geometry was a hybrid structure obtained by combining two different fractal shapes. The synthesis problem has been reformulated as an optimization one to determine the values of the descriptive parameters of the antenna geometry that comply with both electrical and geometrical requirements. The electrical performances of the synthesized antenna have been numerically and experimentally assessed to
assess the reliability and efficiency of the proposed implementation.
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FIGURE CAPTIONS

• **Figure 1.** *PSO-Based Antenna Synthesis Process* - Reference antenna shape and unknowns.

• **Figure 2.** *Three-band hybrid prefractal antenna* (Antenna configuration): (a) geometry and (b) prototype.

• **Figure 3.** *Three-band hybrid prefractal antenna* (Electrical parameters): **VSWR values:** —— simulated, - - - - - - measured.

• **Figure 4.** *Three-band hybrid prefractal antenna* (Radiation parameters). Simulated 3D radiation patterns - Gain at (a) \( f_{GSM900} = 890 \, MHz \), (b) \( f_{GSM1800} = 1850 \, MHz \), and (c) \( f_{UMTS} = 2045 \, MHz \).

• **Figure 5.** *Three-band hybrid prefractal antenna* (Radiation parameters). Simulated vs. measured radiation patterns: (a) horizontal plane (\( \theta = 90^\circ \)) and (b) vertical plane (\( \phi = 90^\circ \)).

• **Figure 6.** *Three-band hybrid prefractal antenna* (Electrical parameters). Simulated surface currents at (a) \( f_{GSM900} = 890 \, MHz \), (b) \( f_{GSM1800} = 1850 \, MHz \), and (c) \( f_{UMTS} = 2045 \, MHz \).
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